

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 751 OF 2005

SICOM Ltd. ... Petitioner

V/s.

Union of India and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Milind Vasudeo i/b. Utangale for the petitioner.

Mr. N.V. Masurkar for respondent Nos. 1 and 3.
None for respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
 ANOO V. MOHTA, JJ.**

DATED : 19th August, 2006

P.C.

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. It is case of the petitioner that, by communication dated 4th July, 2001 addressed to respondent No. 2, the petitioner has withdrawn the nomination of the two directors nominated by them for the Board of Directors of respondent No. 2. Till date, respondent No. 2 has taken no steps to forward said letter to respondent No. 3. Respondent No. 2 is an undertaking of the Government of India. None present for respondent No. 2 today. Respondent Nos.

1 and 3 have put in their appearance. Considering this, the petition can be disposed of by issuing following directions.

i) Respondent No. 2, on a copy of this order served upon them by the petitioner, to forward to respondent No. 3, the necessary communication withdrawing the directorship by the petitioners of their two nominees as shown in communication dated 4th July, 2001 within 15 days from receipt of order of this Court.

ii) Respondent No. 2 will accept resignations of the nominee directors of the petitioners and forward communication to respondent No. 3.

iii) On respondent No. 3 receiving communication from respondent No. 2, then to take steps in accordance with the law, not later than 15 days from the receipt of communication from respondent No.2.

iv) In the meantime and till such time the respondent No. 3 acts on the communication of respondent No. 2, ad-interim relief granted by this Court on 13th November, 2005 to continue.

3. Rule made absolute accordingly. The petition is disposed of.

[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

[ANOOPI V. MOHTA, J.]